

Making America Feel Like Home:
Family, Conflict, and Belonging on Television

Carol Stewart

AM ST 304

Professor Mike Taylor

Brigham Young University

April 12, 2026

Family has long been one of the clearest representations of America, anchoring the nation's sense of belonging more powerfully than monuments, slogans, or political symbols. The traditional image of a married couple and their biological children under one roof has long stood as an American ideal, but it has never fully captured the range of families Americans have formed, and it explains even less about American life today. As Stephanie Coontz puts it, “Leave It to Beaver was not a documentary.”¹ The American family has never had a fixed form. Family is better understood as a group defined by commitment, care, and belonging, whether those ties come through blood, marriage, adoption, or friendship.

Family sitcoms make that history visible, but they also expose a persistent gap between the idealized household America imagines and the more varied, unstable, and improvised forms people actually experience. Sitcoms preserve family as a national symbol not by reflecting a stable reality, but by continually redefining who counts as family in response to the pressures of each historical moment, moving from the orderly postwar nuclear household to the conflicted home of the 1970s, to the chosen relationships of the 1990s, and finally to the more diverse domestic arrangements of the twenty-first century. While these shows often appear to mirror social change, they more actively shape how viewers understand belonging by framing new forms of care, conflict, and connection as recognizably American—even as they obscure the structural inequalities and exclusions that persist beneath those representations. By examining midcentury domestic stability, the emergence of ideological conflict in the 1970s, and later redefinitions of family, this paper demonstrates how sitcoms continually reshape the boundaries of national belonging.

Midcentury sitcoms construct the American family as a site of stability and national

¹ Stephanie Coontz, *The Way We Never Were: American Families and the Nostalgia Trap*, rev. ed. (New York: Basic Books, 2016), 23–32.

reassurance by presenting domestic order as both natural and universally attainable. Shows like *Leave It to Beaver* locate national identity within the home, where paternal authority appears calm, maternal care appears effortless, and everyday disruptions never threaten the underlying structure of family life.² These programs then do more than depict a particular version of domestic life; they establish it as a standard model of American belonging.

Leave It to Beaver offers one of the clearest expressions of this ideal. Within the Cleaver household, Beaver moves through bedrooms, sidewalks, and kitchens with the confidence that adults, resources, and routines will always restore order before any real harm can occur. Ward's calm, measured conversations resolve conflict without challenging broader systems of authority, while June's composed domestic labor renders the work of maintaining the household nearly invisible. These details display a world in which stability appears effortless and universally accessible, reinforcing the idea that security is the natural outcome of properly performed family roles.³

Yet this vision of domestic stability depends upon a careful erasure of the conditions that make it possible. By presenting comfort, abundance, and order as natural rather than contingent, the show obscures the economic, racial, and social inequalities that limit many American families' access to such stability. So, the midcentury sitcom does not simply reflect a national ideal but actively sustains it, offering viewers a version of belonging that appears inclusive while quietly reinforcing the boundaries that define who can fully participate in that ideal.

This same logic extends into early attempts to revise the family form, such as *The Brady Bunch*, who's late 1960s blended household with six children and a live-in housekeeper absorbs divorce and remarriage into the same bright suburban kitchen. The show reassures viewers that

² Stephanie Coontz, *The Way We Never Were*, 29–32.

³ Coontz, *The Way We Never Were*, 29–32.

as long as the household remains cheerful and well stocked, the larger American story is still on track. Together, these programs present abundance, order, and comfort as the natural shape of American life, even though that image already sat at some distance from the more fractured and changing realities many Americans were living.⁴ Rather than disrupting the earlier ideal, *The Brady Bunch* absorbs change into familiar domestic patterns, preserving stability while subtly expanding what counts as family.

While *Leave It to Beaver* and *The Brady Bunch* reinforce the idea that family can still stabilize the nation, *All in the Family* keeps family at the center but strips away the comfort that made that claim believable. Archie Bunker's Queens row house preserves the familiar sitcom setting, but its living room becomes a battleground over race, gender, class, and politics. The domestic space no longer shields viewers from national argument; it stages that argument directly. In place of calm reassurance, the show presents family life as the site where competing versions of America confront one another under the same roof.⁵

Laughter makes that confrontation both bearable and unsettling. As CBS's original viewer advisory explained, the show aimed to "throw a humorous spotlight on our frailties, prejudices, and concerns" by making them "a source of laughter."⁶ The studio audience responds to Archie's rants with a mix of shock and recognition, signaling that his prejudices are both familiar and unacceptable. The jokes invite viewers to enjoy his bluster while still marking him as out of step, but they also risk turning structural injustice into punchlines that can be absorbed in the family living room. Comedy keeps viewers engaged with conflicts they might otherwise avoid while suggesting that those conflicts can be endured through wit and continued

⁴ Janna Jones, "Making Room for *The Brady Bunch*: The Syndication of Suburban Discomfort," *Liminalities: A Journal of Performance Studies* 15, no. 1 (2019), <https://liminalities.net/15-1/>.

⁵ David Bianculli, "The '70s: When TV Finally Woke Up to Reality," CNN, June 11, 2015.

⁶ Jim McKairnes, "On Its 50th Anniversary, Why *All in the Family* Is Still a Story That Matters," *Humanitas*, January 12, 2021, <https://www.humanitasprize.org/blog/aitf>.

cohabitation rather than structural change. That uneasy balance between exposure and containment gives *All in the Family* a different kind of power than the smoother reassurance offered by earlier sitcom households.

Conflict gives this household its meaning. Archie clings to an older social order, Mike answers him with a different political and moral vocabulary, Gloria pushes against inherited assumptions, and Edith tries to hold the family together while those pressures collide. Shouting, resentment, and uneasy reconciliations replace the tidy lessons of earlier sitcom households. The gap between the harmonious family America liked to imagine and the divided country it actually was becomes impossible to ignore. Yet the show does not abandon family as a national foundation. It redefines the household as the place where the nation's deepest conflicts must be argued, endured, and lived through.

If *All in the Family* exposes conflict within the traditional household, *Friends* responds by relocating belonging, shifting emotional security from the nuclear family to a chosen circle built on friendship, routine, and proximity. Set in Manhattan in the 1990s, *Friends* follows six central characters who form a social network that depends less on blood or marriage than on repeated presence. Monica's apartment and the couch at Central Perk become emotional centers of the group, places where conflict is processed, rituals are repeated, and ordinary life is shared. The show does not treat these friendships as a temporary substitute until conventional adulthood arrives. Instead, it presents them as a durable structure of belonging, capable of carrying the intimacy, dependence, and loyalty Americans had long associated with family. As Jillian Sandell argues, the series presents a world in which "in the face of heterosexual failure and familial dysfunction, all you need are good friends."⁷

⁷ Jillian Sandell, "I'll Be There for You: Friends and the Fantasy of Alternative Families," *American Studies* 39, no. 2 (1998): 141–55.

Repeated rituals make that chosen family feel natural and answer the strain that *All in the Family* exposed in the old nuclear household. Monica feeds people, hosts gatherings, and stabilizes the group's domestic life. Ross and Rachel absorb the pressures of romance, separation, and repeated disappointment. Chandler and Joey turn their apartment into a refuge that still offers real emotional shelter. Phoebe expands the group's sense of what family can include through her unconventional history and unpredictable presence. Sandell goes further, arguing that the six friends function as each other's real "family," a claim that helps explain why Monica's apartment and Central Perk matter so much in the series.⁸ What matters in this unstable stage of life is not simply that the group has places to gather, but that it has created a reliable structure for returning. They are there for holidays, road trips, awkward dates, professional disappointments, and ridiculous performances. *Friends* suggests that when the traditional family cannot guarantee stability, a chosen group that keeps showing up for one another can still make life in America feel coherent and worth investing in.

Chosen family matters in *Friends* because the show detaches belonging from the nuclear household without surrendering the emotional needs that household once symbolized. The characters still want loyalty, ritual, recognition, and dependable care. What changes is the form that carries those needs. Flashbacks make that point clearer by revealing the family histories the characters carry into adulthood. Monica and Ross carry old sibling roles and parental expectations. Rachel's sheltered past helps explain both her dependence and her reinvention. Chandler's fractured home life lends emotional weight to his humor and avoidance. Phoebe's instability sharpens the value of a circle that keeps returning. The show suggests that Americans, even as they try to find their footing as young adults, want people who will cook dinner, offer a

⁸ Sandell, "I'll Be There for You," 144–49.

place to stay, show up for important moments, and keep private jokes alive through years of uncertainty. In that way, *Friends* teaches viewers to recognize chosen family as familiar, legitimate, and American. Yet the show also marks the limits of who could be folded into that newly imagined household. The central group is white, straight, and able to treat Manhattan apartments and endless coffee-shop hours as plausible settings for ordinary life. As Sandell notes, the visibility of this “alternative family” depends in part on excluding other forms of difference from the center of the picture.⁹ The sitcom offers a powerful fantasy of chosen belonging, but it narrows the range of lives that could be recognized as ordinary.

Friends legitimizes chosen family as a meaningful site of belonging. *Modern Family* takes the next step by incorporating that revision into the language of domestic normalcy, presenting plural family forms as stable and fully American. The series organizes divorce, remarriage, adoption, same-sex parenting, age differences, and immigration into one extended family and presents that structure as ordinary rather than exceptional. By moving across multiple households and repeatedly bringing them back together for shared meals, celebrations, and moments of reconciliation, the show makes a scattered family read as a coherent unit. The series does not merely broaden the idea of family; it makes that broader idea feel stable, recognizable, and fully American.¹⁰

What makes *Modern Family* especially effective is its ability to translate formal revision into emotional ease. The series does not ask viewers to admire new family forms from a distance; it asks them to inhabit those forms through repeated scenes of homework, dinner, jealousy, embarrassment, aging, parenting, and reconciliation. Its mockumentary frame adds

⁹ Sandell, “I’ll Be There for You,” 147–48.

¹⁰ “*Modern Family*,” *The Peabody Awards*, accessed April 11, 2026, <https://peabodyawards.com/award-profile/modern-family/>.

novelty and self-awareness, yet the emotional structure remains deeply familiar: a crisis arises, family members misread one another, and some version of connection is restored. That pattern matters because it recasts plural family life as a source of reassurance rather than disruption, framing change as continuity. The show keeps redefining normal, but it does so without surrendering the older expectation that family should still provide recognition and care.

Routine secures a sense of normalcy by turning revised family forms into patterns of daily life. Through school events, holiday gatherings, and the small embarrassments and rivalries of ordinary domestic life, expanded kinship structures settle into the familiar rhythms of suburbia. The mockumentary style may refresh the sitcom's surface, but the underlying invitation remains steady: viewers are invited to recognize home through humor and emotional return. In *Modern Family*, difference does not disappear; it gets domesticated. Mitchell and Cam, Jay and Gloria, and Phil and Claire differ sharply in age, background, temperament, and structure, yet the show repeatedly pulls them back into a shared family frame. Difference becomes legible because the series folds it into comfort and recurring connection, allowing audiences to experience plurality as an ordinary feature of American family life.¹¹

Comfort also marks the limit of the show's inclusiveness. These households are spacious, buffered, and secure in ways many real families are not. Laura J. Miller's analysis of the suburban ideal helps explain why that matters. American culture has long linked family togetherness to privacy, home-centered leisure, and the hope that domestic space can absorb conflict.¹² *Modern Family* updates that fantasy rather than abandoning it. Its diversity is real, but it remains carefully managed within a world where tension can be softened, conflict contained, and belonging restored by the end of each episode. As the Peabody profile suggests, the show's

¹¹ "Modern Family," *The Peabody Awards*, accessed April 11, 2026, <https://peabodyawards.com/award-profile/modern-family/>.

¹² Laura J. Miller, "Family Togetherness and the Suburban Ideal," *Sociological Forum* 10, no. 3 (1995): 393–418.

power lies in making revised family forms seem ordinary rather than exceptional.¹³ The series asks viewers to see a family that still works hard to make holidays, school events, and shared routines seem feasible with enough effort. The series expands the American family, but it still depends on the older promise that home can shrink the gap between the national ideal and lived reality by making difference feel manageable, familiar, and secure.

Modern Family, therefore, expands beyond the Cleavers' tidy house and *Friends*' precarious chosen family, promising that even an unruly, multi-branch clan can still make America feel like home so long as it occupies the right kind of domestic space. The show absorbs the experiments of blended and chosen kin into an officially recognizable extended family and reassures viewers that such families can remain both lovable and legible. In doing so, it stretches who counts as family while preserving the expectation that home will buffer the nation's deepest conflicts rather than expose them.

Family sitcoms return again and again to the same cultural task: they use family to make national life feel legible, intimate, and worth holding onto. *Leave It to Beaver* and *The Brady Bunch* perform that work through abundance, comfort, and visible order, turning a well-managed household into proof that America itself can be stable, as long as viewers accept that stability as the natural reward of proper family roles. *All in the Family* keeps family at the center but turns it into a site of conflict and endurance, forcing viewers to confront the gap between the harmonious nation they want to imagine and the divided one they inhabit. *Friends* relocates belonging to a chosen circle of care, suggesting that when traditional structures falter, an improvised household can still make life in America feel coherent. *Modern Family* gathers those revisions into one extended clan and normalizes plural family forms by folding them back into a familiar domestic rhythm. The structure changes. The symbolic labor remains.

¹³ "Modern Family," *The Peabody Awards*, accessed April 11, 2026, <https://peabodyawards.com/award-profile/modern-family/>.

Television does more than reflect the evolution of the American family. It helps Americans accept new forms of belonging without surrendering the emotional authority of family itself. That is why family remains such a powerful icon in American culture: even as the nation revises who belongs, it continues to imagine stability, aspiration, and home through the figure of the household and the time set aside to be together. Sitcoms preserve that symbol not by freezing family in one form, but by teaching viewers to recognize changing forms of care, conflict, and belonging as familiar, legitimate, and American, even when they no longer fit the old ideal. In that sense, these sitcoms keep the equation “family = America” intact: they show characters working hard so they can play hard with the people they call family, sustaining the hope that there will still be a space where someone shows up, makes room at the table, and keeps the story of belonging going.

Bibliography

- Bianculli, David. "The '70s: When TV Finally Woke Up to Reality." CNN. June 11, 2015.
- Blake, Meredith. "'Modern Family' Recap: 'It's Not Racist, It's Satire.'" *Los Angeles Times*. November 3, 2010.
- Coontz, Stephanie. *The Way We Never Were: American Families and the Nostalgia Trap*. Rev. ed. New York: Basic Books, 2016.
- Jones, Janna. "Making Room for *The Brady Bunch*: The Syndication of Suburban Discomfort." *Liminalities: A Journal of Performance Studies* 15, no. 1 (2019). <https://liminalities.net/15-1/>.
- Lopez, Kristen. "The Evolution of the Family Sitcom." *The Peabody Awards*. December 15, 2022. <https://peabodyawards.com/stories/the-evolution-of-the-family-sitcom/>.
- McKairnes, Jim. "On Its 50th Anniversary, Why *All in the Family* Is Still a Story That Matters." *Humanitas*. January 12, 2021. <https://www.humanitasprize.org/blog/aitf>.
- Miller, Laura J. "Family Togetherness and the Suburban Ideal." *Sociological Forum* 10, no. 3 (1995): 393–418.
- "Modern Family." *The Peabody Awards*. Accessed April 11, 2026. <https://peabodyawards.com/award-profile/modern-family/>.
- Sandell, Jillian. "I'll Be There for You: *Friends* and the Fantasy of Alternative Families." *American Studies* 39, no. 2 (1998): 141–55.